

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/006,794	12/04/2001	Hitoshi Fukushima	9319S-000311/CPA	1876
27572	7590 10/01/2004		EXAMINER	
HARNESS,	DICKEY & PIERCE,	GHYKA, ALEXANDER G		
P.O. BOX 828			ADTIBUT	PAPER NUMBER
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2812	
			DATE MAILED: 10/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/006,794	FUKUSHIMA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	}	
	Alexander G. Ghyka	2812	A~	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with th	ie correspondence addre	ess	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS t tte, cause the application to become ABANDO	be timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this comm DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
	is action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	•		nerits is	
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the applicatio		ALEXANDER GHY PRIMARY EXAMIN	KA ER	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		AU2812		
 6)			1	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	My SC	-La	
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner '			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 December 2001</u> is. Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obj e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attached Off	fice Action or form PTO	-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appli ionty documents have been rec	cation No	age	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not rece	eived.		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma			
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0) 		nal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, Claims 1-26 in the reply filed on July 6, 2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that since the groups of claims are sufficiently related to each other an undue burden would not be placed upon the Examiner. This is not found persuasive because the groups are classified in different classes and would result in an undue burden.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Enick et al (US 6,183,815).

Enick et al disclose a method and composition for the surface treatment of metals which includes a method of self assembling a mono layer by using fluoroalkanes as claimed in Claim 7, (where "m" and "n" are zero) and compressed carbon dioxide as a solvent as required in the present Claims. See column 2, lines 15-30, column 2, line 50 to column 3, line 30, and column 3, line 60 to line 67. Moreover, Enick et al disclose the use of propanol as a solvent. See column 6, line 60 to column 7, line 15.

Furthermore, Enick discloses the use of metals as required by the present Claims. See column 8, lines 45-52.

Thus, Enick et al is shown to teach all of the features of the claims with the exception of requiring the presence of compressed liquid carbon dioxide.

One of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, would have found it obvious to arrive at the presently claimed limitations, as the use of an optional solvent, compressed carbon dioxide, for its known purpose would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art. The use of compressed carbon dioxide for its benefit as a sovent is *prima facie* obvious in view of the disclosure of Enick et al. Therefore, a *prima facie* case of obviousness is established.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 11-13 and 17-26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The cited prior art does not disclose or suggest the co-solvents as required in the afore mentioned claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander G. Ghyka whose telephone number is (571)

Art Unit: 2812

272-1669. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday during general business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John F Niebling can be reached on (571) 272-1679. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AGG September 28, 2004

ALEXANDER GHYKA
PRIMARY EXAMINED